JOURNAL OF VETERINARY AND APPLIED SCIENCES

VOLUME 15, ISSUE 2: 1126 - 1141 (2025)

Published by: Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria ISSN: 2315-6856; e-ISSN: 2636-5553; Website: www.jvasonline.com

A review of the epidemiology, diagnosis and economic impacts of Peste des Petits Ruminants (PPR) in Nigeria, and the case for early detection

Patricia I. Otuh ^{1, 6, 7*}, Ekele Ikpegbu ², Chikera S. Ibe ², Christian Okorie-Kanu ³, Victoria N. Ani ⁴, Uchenna Nlebedum ², Chibuike E. Uwalaka ⁵, Isaiah O. Agbakwuru ²

Abstract

Global food security faces multi-faceted challenges posed by poverty, economic mismanagement, flawed production systems and emerging infectious diseases amongst others. Livestock production in Nigeria plays a vital role in meeting food (protein) demands, socioeconomic and ecological balance, and relies significantly on the small ruminant component (sheep and goats), which accounts for around 28% of Nigeria's total livestock population. The livestock sector constantly grapples with endemic diseases that lead to significant losses for farmers, stakeholders and the community. Among these diseases is Peste des Petits Ruminants (PPR), which is endemic in Nigeria and is associated with very high mortality. A regular update on the status of PPR in Nigeria is essential to identify vulnerabilities and devise effective preventive and control measures. The present review provides insights into PPR's epidemiology, diagnosis and economic impacts in Nigeria, as well as the benefits of timely detection of the disease.

Keywords: Peste des Petits Ruminants; Goats and Sheep; Review; Epidemiology and Diagnosis; Economic impact; Timely/Early detection.

¹ Department of Veterinary Public Health and Preventive Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Nigeria.

² Department of Veterinary Anatomy, College of Veterinary Medicine, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Nigeria.

³ Department of Veterinary Pathology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Nigeria.

⁴ Department of Theriogenology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Nigeria.

⁵ Department of Veterinary Parasitology College of Veterinary Medicine, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Nigeria.

⁶ Department of Public Health, David Umahi Federal University of Health Sciences Uburu, Nigeria.

⁷ Institute of Infectious Diseases, Biosafety and Biosecurity Research, David Umahi Federal University of Health Sciences, Uburu, Nigeria.

Introduction

Peste des Petits Ruminants (PPR) is an acute and contagious viral disease that significantly impacts on small ruminants' production economics in Nigeria and Africa. It poses a serious threat to sheep and goat production across Nigeria, Asia and the Middle East (Kang-Seuk et al., 2012; Ahaduzzama, 2020). PPR, also known as stomatitis-pneumo-enteritis complex or Kata, and is caused by the rapidly spreading PPR virus (PPRV), a Mobillivirus belonging to the family of Paramyxoviridae (Dhar et al., 2002). The disease may present acute, sub-acute and sub-clinical manifestations, depending on the virulence of the virus.

The PPR virus is classified as a pleomorphic organism that is sensitive to ether, and its nucleic acid is RNA, similar to other viruses like that of Measles, Rinderpest, Canine distemper and Parainfluenza III (Golchinfar et al., 2011). The PPR virus is a linear, single-stranded and enveloped negatively-sensed RNA organism, akin to other RNA viruses affecting various animals, including cattle, buffaloes, humans, dogs, wild carnivores and aquatic mammals. Although there is only one single serotype of PPR virus, phylogenetic analysis based on the small region of the N/F gene has revealed four distinct lineages, namely, I - IV, with Lineages I and II present in West Africa, Lineage III in Arabia and East Africa, and Lineage IV in Asia and the Middle East (Prajapati et al., 2019).

Historically, PPR disease was first reported in the early 1940s during an outbreak in sheep and goats in West Africa (Dhar et al., 2002). Since then, the disease has reportedly been endemic throughout sub-Saharan Africa and has spread to other parts of the world, including Asia and the Middle East (Balamurugan et al., 2014). Quantifying the losses incurred due to the menace of PPR disease in Nigeria's small ruminant sector presents a challenging task, encompassing both agricultural dimensions and economic

implications (Jones et al., 2016). The occurrence of PPR disease in other parts of the world has been speculated to be due to spread through international trade from sub-Saharan Africa, raising concerns about small ruminant livestock trade (Balamurugan et al., 2014). PPR is recognized as one of the five most destructive trans-boundary diseases in Africa, Asia and the Middle East by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE). (OIE-FAO, 2015). Nigeria, with its substantial population of approximately 113.8 million sheep and goats, has the potential for largescale exportation of these animals. However, factors like PPR disease hinder such opportunities (Esonu et al., 2022).

The clinical manifestations of PPR have been consistently described across Nigerian states, with classical signs including oculo-nasal discharges, conjunctivitis, coughing, and pyrexia (Lawal et al., 2011; Emikpe et al., 2013; Woma et al., 2015a; Mantip et al., 2016; Okwelum et al., 2017; Adedeji et al., 2019; Akanbi et al., 2020). Additional reports have noted abortion (Chukwudi et al., 2020) and mastitis (Adedeji et al., 2019; Akanbi et al., 2020) as part of the spectrum of clinical signs.

Diagnostic confirmation typically relies on a combination of clinical evaluation, postmortem findings and laboratory testing. Virus neutralization tests (VNT), enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) remain the most commonly used tools in both field investigations and laboratory settings (Mantip et al., 2022). Using these techniques in parallel reportedly enhances diagnostic sensitivity and specificity, thereby improving response to outbreaks.

At the global level, the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) recognized PPR as a transboundary animal disease of concern in 2007. By 2009, the FAO reported that over one billion small ruminants were at risk globally,

with African countries like Nigeria bearing a substantial proportion of that risk due to high livestock density, inadequate vaccination coverage, and weak surveillance infrastructure (FAO, 2009).

In the light of the global eradication of rinderpest in 2011, there were calls for the control or eradication of PPR at regional and global levels. This strategy has garnered support from 45 African countries and the African Union Inter-African Bureau for Animal Resources (AU-IBAR) (AU-IBAR, 2015). Proactive efforts to prevent and control PPR are essential not only for Nigerian farmers but also for enhancing the supply of animal protein across Africa and beyond (Dilli *et al.*, 2011; Britton *et al.*, 2019).

Despite concerted control efforts, PPR remains endemic in Nigeria, contributing substantially to the global burden of the disease. The present review provides a critical evaluation of the current epidemiological trends, diagnostic tools, pathological insights and economic implications of PPR in Nigeria. By highlighting key knowledge gaps and operational challenges, it emphasizes the urgent need to strengthen early detection systems as part of the broader strategy to achieve global eradication of the disease by 2030.

Research Methodology

A structured literature search was conducted to gather data on the epidemiology, pathology, diagnosis and economic impacts of Peste des Petits Ruminants (PPR) in Nigeria. The search covered publications from 2018 to 2023 and utilized online databases such as PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, and African Journals Online (AJOL). Keywords used included "Peste des Petits Ruminants," "Nigeria," "epidemiology," "diagnosis," "economic impact," "surveillance," and "PPR rapid diagnostic kits." Additional information was obtained through consultations with researchers specializing in livestock

production, animal health and veterinary public health, who were contacted via email and invited to share relevant literature. The reviewed sources included peer-reviewed journal articles, national reports, conference proceedings, books of abstracts, online textbooks and scientific monographs. Non-English materials and non-Nigerian studies were excluded unless they provided significant contextual relevance.

Epidemiology of PPR in Nigeria

In support of more effective control strategies for PPR in Nigeria, there has been a growing body of research focused on the disease's prevalence, risk factors, diagnosis prevention (Table 1). According to Esonu et al. (2022), at least one PPR-related study has been conducted in 30 of the 36 states, with most investigations centering on small ruminants. A notable serological survey by El-Yuguda et al. (2013) involving 2,879 animals (including 1,571 goats, 1,008 sheep, 192 cattle, and 108 camels) in the semi-arid North-East region, reported PPRV antibody seroprevalence rates of 76.5% in sheep, 51.6% in goats, 27.8% in camels and 16.7% in cattle. These findings underscore the endemicity of PPR among both ruminants and dromedaries in the region, particularly given their frequent co-habitation and inter-species interactions.

Regional studies across Nigeria (Table 1) have highlighted the persistent and geographically variable burden of PPR, reflecting a complex interplay of ecological, socio-economic and institutional factors that sustain the disease. The North-Western region of Nigeria, characterized by large-scale pastoralism and trans-boundary livestock movement, has shown measurable levels of PPR exposure in non-traditional hosts. Bello *et al.* (2013) reported a seroprevalence rate of 18.25% among dromedary camels in the region. Although camels are not considered primary hosts, accumulating evidence suggests that

both camels and large ruminants such as cattle may serve as subclinical carriers of the virus. This finding is significant because these species often co-graze with small ruminants in communal pastures and watering points, creating opportunities for inter-species virus transmission. The presence of sub-clinical infections further complicates surveillance challenges and poses containment strategies, as these animals may not display clinical signs while still shedding the virus (Sen et. al., 2014).

The endemicity of PPR in these settings (as outlined above) is sustained by multiple factors, including unrestricted animal

movement, insufficient veterinary oversight and gaps in farmer awareness. Such conditions are common in rural northern Nigeria, where small ruminant production remains a critical component of household income and regional trade. De Nardi *et al.* (2012) highlighted the importance of understanding these socioecological dynamics when designing control programs. Compounding the problem is the porous nature of Nigeria's international borders, which allows trans-boundary animal movements to proceed, largely unchecked. This further exacerbates the circulation of PPRV strains across regions and between neighboring countries.

Table 1. Summary of epidemiological findings on Peste des Petits Ruminants (PPR) in Nigeria.

Region/State	Study (Author, Year)	Species sampled	Sample size	Seroprevalence (%)	Key findings & Risk factors
North-East Nigeria	El-Yuguda <i>et al</i> . (2013)	Sheep, goats, camels, cattle	2,879	76.5% (sheep), 51.6% (goats), 27.8% (camels), 16.7% (cattle)	High cohabitation; evidence of subclinical infections in large ruminants and camels
North-West Nigeria	Bello <i>et al</i> . (2013)	Camels	160	18.25%	Low-level exposure; PPRV circulation confirmed among camels
South-East Nigeria	Chukwudi <i>et al</i> . (2020)	Sheep and goats	420	60.2% (Enugu), 40.5% (Anambra), 32.1% (Ebonyi)	Lack of vaccine access; low awareness; poor veterinary service infrastructure
North- Central Nigeria	Adedeji <i>et al</i> . (2019)	Sheep and goats	246	Not specified	Co-infection with goatpox; abortion and mastitis observed
South-West Nigeria	Lawal <i>et al</i> . (2011); Emikpe <i>et al</i> . (2013)	Goats	80	~35 – 40%	Clinical outbreaks: ocular and nasal discharge, coughing, fever; pneumonia and abortion common
North- Central (Camels)	Woma <i>et al</i> . (2015b)	Camels	Not specified	Detected	Camels may serve as reservoirs or spill-over hosts

In South-Eastern Nigeria, studies (Table 1) have further emphasized the significant seroprevalence of PPR among susceptible livestock species. Chukwudi et al. (2020) documented notably high seroprevalence rates among goats and sheep in Enugu State, with comparatively lower rates in neighboring Anambra and Ebonyi States. These variations are reportedly reflective of differences in herd size, movement patterns, veterinary service coverage, and farmer practices (Chukwudi et al., 2020). Several risk factors were identified, including a general lack of awareness among livestock farmers regarding the benefits of PPR vaccination, irregular access to veterinary services, and inconsistent availability of vaccines at local veterinary clinics (Chukwudi et al., 2020)

A key epidemiological concern in South-East region is the continued use of manure from infected animals as organic fertilizer, which contribute may inadvertently environmental transmission of the virus. Ezeibe et al. (2008) reported that goats recovering from PPR infection can shed viruses in their faeces for a reasonable period of time post-recovery. When this faecal material is applied to farmland as manure (a common practice among smallholder farmers), it can serve as a potential source of indirect transmission. This underscores the need for greater education and risk communication efforts targeting rural communities.

In the South-West region (Table 1), serological surveys have consistently demonstrated moderate levels of exposure. For example, studies conducted between 2010 and 2013 reported true seroprevalence of 17 – 19% in states such as Ogun and Ondo (Esonu *et al.*, 2022). More recent molecular surveillance (2017–2018) confirmed active viral circulation, with PPRV detected in goats and sheep from Oyo, Ondo, and Osun States (Mantip *et al.*, 2022). These findings highlight that, despite ongoing vaccination campaigns, small

ruminant populations in the South-West Nigeria remain at significant risk of infection.

In the North-Central region, the disease burden appears higher. Retrospective records from the National Veterinary Research Institute (NVRI), Vom (2013 - 2022)documented 600 suspected outbreaks, of which 34% were laboratory-confirmed as PPR, involving over 15,000 small ruminants with a mortality rate of approximately 23% (Chabiri et. al., 2023). Molecular surveys further detected PPRV in goats from Plateau, Benue, States, confirming active and Kwara transmission in the zone. Importantly, phylogenetic studies indicate that circulating strains belong to Lineage II and IV, closely related to existing vaccine strains, suggesting gaps in vaccination coverage, implementation and herd immunity rather than vaccine inefficacy may account for persistent outbreaks (Woma et. al., 2015a).

Overall, these data indicate that South-West Nigeria maintains a moderate but sustained level of PPR endemicity, while North-Central Nigeria experiences higher outbreak frequency and mortality, reinforcing the need for strengthened vaccination programs, improved surveillance, and cross-border control measures.

Pathology of PPR

The clinical signs of PPR typically manifest within six days of natural infection, beginning with depression and a sudden onset of pyrexia, with rectal temperatures often exceeding 40°C. Following this initial phase, various signs usually emerge, including oculonasal discharges, pneumonia and diarrhea, typically occurring 5 – 8 days prior to death or recovery (Megersa *et al.*, 2011; Truong *et al.*, 2020). Other signs may include restlessness, decreased appetite and serous nasal discharge, which can progress from mild to mucopurulent, occasionally leading to severe

catarrhal exudate that crust over and occludes the nostrils, resulting in respiratory distress.

At post-mortem examination (Table 2), the carcass of an affected animal is often emaciated, with the hindquarters soiled by soft, watery feces and sunken eyeballs. Driedup discharges can be found in the eyes and nose. Lesions in the respiratory system may include petechiation, necrosis and erosions of the nasal mucosa, turbinate and trachea, alongside pulmonary oedema and bronchopneumonia (Balamurugan et al., 2014). Additionally, there may be profuse catarrhal conjunctivitis, necrotic stomatitis and congestion with enlargement of the spleen, lymph nodes, and various lymphoid organs (Kumar et. al., 2014). [Table 2]

The pathology of PPR is characterized by retrogressive and necrotic changes in

lymphoid tissues and the epithelial cells of the gastrointestinal and respiratory systems. Various studies have documented gross pathological changes in naturally occurring PPR in goats and sheep (Table 2). Prominent infected lesions in animals include consolidation and discoloration of the lungs, where frothy mucus may be observed upon squeezing cut lung samples, particularly in the antero-ventral areas of the right lung. The lungs may appear dark red or purple, firm to the touch, with lesions predominantly on the anterior and cardiac lobes. Consolidation of lung lobes and airway occlusion due to secondary bacterial pneumonia are common occurrences. Congested alveolar borders represent one of the most characteristic clinical and pathological changes in the lungs of goats with PPR.

Table 2. Common pathological findings in Peste des Petits Ruminants (PPR).

System/Organ	Gross Pathology	Histopathology	References
Respiratory system	Congestion of nasal mucosa; Mucopurulent oculonasal discharge; Pneumonia (especially bronchointerstitial).	Necrosis and desquamation of respiratory epithelium; Infiltration of mononuclear cells.	Emikpe <i>et al.</i> , 2013; Mantip <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2016
Gastrointestinal tract	Ulcerations on dental pad, lips, gums, tongue, and esophagus; Zebra striping of colon.	Necrosis of epithelial lining; Lymphoid depletion in Peyer's patches.	Okwelum <i>et al.,</i> 2017; Woma <i>et</i> <i>al.,</i> 2015
Lymphoid tissues	Enlargement and hemorrhage of lymph nodes and spleen.	Lymphoid necrosis and depletion; Proliferation of reticuloendothelial cells.	Ezeibe <i>et al.,</i> 2008; Chukwudi <i>et al.,</i> 2020
Skin and mucosae	Crusting around mouth and eyes; Ulcers and erosions on mucocutaneous junctions.	Dermatitis with inflammatory infiltrates.	Adedeji <i>et al.,</i> 2019
Lungs	Consolidation of cranial lobes; Fibrinous pneumonia; Pulmonary edema.	Interstitial pneumonia with thickened alveolar septa; Syncytial cells with viral inclusions.	Mantip <i>et al</i> ., 2022
Other	Emaciation and dehydration; Abortion (in some outbreaks); Mastitis in mixed infections.	Mononuclear infiltration in mammary glands.	Akanbi <i>et al.,</i> 2020; Chukwudi <i>et al.,</i> 2020

The involvement of the respiratory system is significant, with pneumonia being predominant lesion observed in over 90% of cases during PPR outbreaks (Abdollahpour et 2006: Zahur et al., al., 2009). Bronchopneumonia is consistently present, potentially accompanied by pleuritis and hydrothorax. The mediastinal and mesenteric lymph nodes are most commonly affected, appearing enlarged, oedematous congested. Microscopically, tissues in the respiratory system, including the tonsils, show infiltration with neutrophils, formation of syncytial cells and intra-nuclear inclusion bodies.

The PPR virus shows a strong predilection for lymphoid tissues, resulting in profound immunosuppression in affected animals (Kumar et. al., 2014). The virus replicates extensively in the spleen, thymus, lymph nodes, and Peyer's patches of the ileum, leading to lymphoid depletion, necrosis and follicular atrophy. This lymphoid pathology compromises immune competence and predisposes infected animals to secondary bacterial and parasitic infections, thereby exacerbating disease severity and mortality. In addition to lymphoid lesions, PPR is frequently associated with characteristic erosions in the oral cavity and gastrointestinal tract (Table 2). The gastrointestinal pathology is marked by congestion, hemorrhages and necrotic erosions along the abomasum, small intestine and large intestine (Njaa et. al., 2012). The Peyer's patches of the ileum are particularly affected, often showing necrosis and ulceration, which contribute to profuse diarrhea and dehydration which are the major causes of death in PPR outbreaks (Aleksandersen et. al., 2002). Collectively, the lymphoid, gastrointestinal and respiratory lesions underscore the systemic nature of PPR infection and its high case fatality.

Diagnosis of PPR Infection

The diagnosis of PPR is achieved through a combination of information on clinical signs, epidemiology, gross and histological tissue lesions and the detection of antibodies, antigens, or viral genomes in infected animals/samples using serological and molecular tests. A clinical presentation featuring oculo-nasal discharges, diarrhoea and deaths with respiratory distress in sheep and/or goats, without symptoms in contact cattle, should raise suspicion of PPR. Observing characteristic post-mortem changes can further strengthen a provisional diagnosis.

Common serological tests for diagnosing PPR include indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), agar gel immunodiffusion and counterimmunoelectrophoresis (Dhinakar Raj et. al., 2008). Although useful, these conventional tests are often time-consuming and less sensitive. In contrast, molecular and cell culture techniques provide more rapid, sensitive and specific diagnoses of PPRV infection. Detection of PPRV RNA from clinical samples can be achieved using methods such as monoclonal antibody-based ELISA (Singh et. al., 2004), reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) (Balamurugan et. al., 2006), and real-time RT-PCR (Bao et. al., 2008).

In terms of cost implications, serological assays such as ELISA and agar immunodiffusion are relatively inexpensive, making them suitable for large-scale surveillance in endemic areas, though they may lack sensitivity for early detection (Sharma et. al., 2015). Molecular methods, including RT-PCR and real-time RT-PCR, are significantly more expensive due and equipment, reagent expertise requirements, but they offer superior sensitivity and specificity, making them more appropriate for outbreak confirmation and targeted research (Mahapatra et. al., 2019).

Cell culture techniques are the most resourceintensive and are primarily confined to research and vaccine production rather than routine diagnosis (Balamurugan *et al.*, 2014).

Differentiation from other Diseases

PPR is frequently confused with other diseases presenting similar clinical signs and lesions, such as, Rinderpest, Foot and Mouth Disease (FMD), Contagious Caprine Pleuropneumonia (CCPP), Bluetongue (BT) and Contagious Ecthyma (Orf). Mixed infections with two or more of these viral diseases can occur, as there had been reported cases of PPR and adenovirus co-infection in Nigeria (Adedeji *et al.*, 2019)

Rinderpest: Both PPR and Rinderpest affect cloven-hoofed animals and share clinical manifestations like pyrexia, conjunctivitis, oculo-nasal discharges, stomatitis and profuse diarrhea (Golchinfar et al., 2011). While some animals may recover from Rinderpest and develop lasting immunity, respiratory involvement is more prevalent in PPR. Additionally, Rinderpest occurs in small ruminants only when in contact with affected cattle or buffaloes, making it vital to examine all species during investigations. Rinderpest has been successfully eradicated in virtually all countries (Morens et al., 2011)

Foot-and-Mouth Disease (FMD): FMD is more common in sheep than goats. FMD is distinguished from PPR by the absence of respiratory distress and diarrhoea, alongside notable lameness. Sudden death of young lambs may occur without other signs. Oral lesions, when present, are typically small and hard to detect, and the mouth does not exude the foul odour characteristic of PPR (Balamurugan et al., 2014).

Contagious Caprine Pleuropneumonia (CCPP): This goat-specific disease caused by *Mycoplasma* species presents with fever, abnormal breathing and coughing, but lacks

oral lesions and diarrhoea seen in PPR (Iqbal et. al., 2019).

Bluetongue (BT): Both BT and PPR cause oral lesions; however, in PPR these lesions are usually haemorrhagic, necrotic and erosive, and they often occur on the dorsum of the tongue. Respiratory involvement and severe gastrointestinal disease with diarrhoea are more characteristic of PPR. In contrast, BT is typically associated with coronitis, a feature not seen in PPR (Saminathan *et. al.*, 2020)

Contagious Ecthyma (Orf): This condition may be confused with PPR due to the nodules and thick scabs that occur on the lips during later PPR stages. Confusion is particularly likely in severe Orf cases where lesions extend into the mouth and nose. However, uncomplicated Orf typically does not cause oral necrosis, diarrhoea or pneumonia. Furthermore, PPR is characterized by pyrexia, serous to purulent ocular and nasal discharge, diarrhoea and bronchopneumonia. Oral lesions in PPR include necrosis and erosion of the gums and buccal mucosa, while Orf presents with erythematous and ulcerative papules in the peri-labial area (Kumar et al., 2015).

Laboratory Diagnosis of PPR

Laboratory tests based on **Antibody Detection:** The diagnosis of PPR through antibody detection typically requires the collection of two blood samples from the same animal, spaced three weeks apart. While this method is feasible in experimental settings, it poses challenges in field conditions. Antibody surveys are crucial for determining the presence and extent of PPR infection in populations. The competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (c-ELISA) has largely replaced the virus neutralization test (VNT) due to its laboriousness, cost and requirement for infectious virus, that made it less suitable for large-scale routine testing. A rapid c-ELISA Kang-Seuk Choi (2012) developed by reportedly detects PPRV antibodies in serum

samples by quantifying the monoclonal antibody (MAb) P-3H12 after a 30-minute incubation period with a PPRV recombinant nucleocapsid protein, demonstrating specificity and sensitivity rates of 98.5% and 93.4%, respectively.

Laboratory tests based on Antigen Detection:

PPRV is a pleomorphic virus with a ribonucleoprotein core enveloped by a lipoprotein membrane. The initial detection of virus antigens can be accomplished using the agar gel immunodiffusion test; however, this method does not differentiate between PPR and Rinderpest viruses. For more definitive identification, histopathology combined with immunohistochemical staining using specific antibodies monoclonal is employed. Furthermore, immunocapture ELISA provides a rapid and sensitive approach for antigen detection and can effectively differentiate between PPR and rinderpest (Golchinfar et al., 2011).

Laboratory tests based on the Virus Genome:

The PPRV genome is a negative-sense, singlestranded RNA approximately 16 kilobases Molecular detection long. techniques, including conventional PCR, RT-PCR and realtime RT-PCR, are based on this genome structure. The first PCR method for PPR detection was described by Forsyth and Barrett in 1995, utilizing specific primers to amplify a nucleoprotein fragment. Although RT-PCR offers higher sensitivity, it is expensive and technically demanding. The advent of TaqMan technology and fluorescent probe methods has enhanced molecular diagnosis, providing rapid and specific results. Loopmediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) has emerged as a cost-effective alternative for detecting PPRV (Kumar et al., 2014), with realtime RT-PCR currently being the preferred method for clinical samples (Garg et. al., 2022)

Isolation of PPR Virus: PPRV exhibits an affinity for lymphoid tissues, allowing isolation from the spleen and lymph nodes of infected

animals (Taylor, 2002). Virus isolation can be performed by inoculating clinical samples into susceptible cell cultures, such as Vero or B95a cells, with the latter yielding significantly higher virus titres. Immunocapture ELISA can detect PPRV in cell culture supernatants, while the serum neutralization test, despite being a standard method for identifying neutralizing antibodies against PPRV, is less frequently used due to its labor-intensive nature (Kamal et al., 2018).

Globally, four lineages of PPRV (I, II, III, and IV) have been identified through sequence analysis of the nucleoprotein (N) and fusion (F) genes (Alemu et. al., 2019). Laboratory diagnosis progresses from antibody detection using c-ELISA to viral amplification via RT-PCR, culminating in virus isolation and molecular characterization through sequencing of the N or F genes, followed by phylogenetic analysis. Lineage I and II are prevalent in Western and Central Africa, lineage III in Eastern Africa and the southern Middle East, and lineage IV primarily in Asia (Munir et. al., 2012). There has also reportedly been a noted spread of Asian lineage IV into Central Africa, North Africa, and parts of East Africa (Alemu et. al., 2019).

Early Diagnosis of PPR by the use of a Rapid Antibody Test Kit:

Early diagnosis of PPR can be achieved by the use of a rapid diagnostic test kit. Commercially prepared test kits detect PPR virus antibody in blood or serum samples of infected animals. This technique is useful as it can achieve diagnosis in animals with low antibody titre, that have not started to manifest the clinical signs of PPR. Such early diagnosis allows for early management necessary to mitigate death and resultant economic loss. There are a few rapid test kits for PPR produced from isolated viruses. Quantitative or qualitative detection of PPR antibodies can be carried out using a variety of methods. The double

antigen sandwich method was adopted for the production of a rapid diagnostic test kit in which the antibody in the sample binds to the colloidal gold-coated small ruminant-H protein to form an antigen-antibody complex (Nankai Biotech Co., Ltd., 2025).

Economic Impacts of PPR in Nigeria

PPR is associated with severe morbidity, and mortality rates that can reach up to 90% (Govindaraj et al., 2023). The annual economic burden of PPR is estimated to exceed USD 1.2 billion globally (OIE/FAO, 2015). The significant economic losses stem from mortality, decreased production targets and the costs associated with disease prevention and management (George et al., 2001). These financial strains disproportionately affect smallholder rural farmers, who rely on small ruminants as essential family assets. These animals provide income through sales, organic manure for arable crops, and contribute to food security and the wool industry, making them integral part of their livelihoods (WOAH, 2024).

In Nigeria, the economic losses attributed to PPR are estimated at around USD 10.4 million annually (Fadiga et al., 2011; Dilli et al., 2011). The disease can decimate entire flocks, either independently or in conjunction with other diseases like pneumonia and gastrointestinal parasitism, directly impacting the livelihoods of rural, impoverished communities (Majiyagbe, 1985; Luka et al., 2011). During an epidemic, the mortality rate can exceed 90%, transforming a rural farmer's family into one facing severe poverty and food insecurity (Adamu et al., 2005; OIE/FAO, 2015). This loss undermines family livelihoods, affecting their ability to pay for education, healthcare, and essential food items. Furthermore, if an outbreak occurs during the arable farming season, the absence of organic manure can lead to poor crop yields, compounding

economic losses as farmers often cannot afford commercial fertilizers.

The emotional toil of losing small ruminants is profound, as these animals represent not just a source of income but also a legacy to be passed down through generations. In certain Eastern Nigerian communities, gifting female small ruminants to new brides is a longstanding cultural practice tied to productivity. The death of these prized animals can be devastating, impacting traditional marriage ceremonies (Shamaki *et al.*, 2004).

On the international trade front, the exporting of small ruminant meat and products is constrained by the risk of PPR transmission through animal imports (Zhang et al., 2022). The global market for small ruminant meat and live animal exports was valued at over USD 9.48 billion and USD 1.91 billion, respectively, in 2022, with significant potential for growth (OEC, 2022). Capturing a portion of this market could bolster national foreign exchange revenue and stimulate economic diversification, creating jobs across the small ruminant value chain.

The adverse impact of PPR on the livelihoods of poor farmers in Nigeria is significant and cannot be overstated. In addressing this challenge, collaboration among farmers, the and non-governmental government organizations is vital to developing effective solutions. Sustainable control and eradication strategies for PPR are projected to enhance returns on investment in sheep and goat husbandry, resulting in increased profitability and improved quality of life for farming communities. It is estimated that such initiatives could yield a benefit-cost ratio exceeding 30 and an internal rate of return surpassing 190% (Jones et al., 2016; Jemberu et al., 2022). Additionally, these efforts would contribute to diversification the strengthening of economies in nations engaged in the small ruminant industry.

The Case for Early Detection and the Need for Rapid Diagnostic Tools

Despite existing vaccination campaigns and control efforts, the continued endemicity of PPR in Nigeria and across many parts of Africa underscores a critical need for timely detection of outbreaks. One of the major barriers to effective containment of the disease is the delayed identification of infected animals especially in rural and periurban settings where access to laboratory facilities is limited. For smallholder farmers and pastoralists, the early clinical signs of PPR (e.g., fever, ocular and nasal discharge, oral lesions) are often confused with other respiratory or mucosal infections. As a result, infected animals may remain in contact with the rest of the herd, facilitating rapid disease transmission before appropriate intervention is taken. This delay not only increases morbidity and mortality but also escalates the economic burden on livestock owners.

There is, therefore, an urgent need to deploy and farmer-friendly affordable rapid, diagnostic tools, such as lateral flow strip tests, that can be used in the field without requiring electricity, advanced training or sophisticated laboratory infrastructure. These point-of-care diagnostics can help detect PPRV antigens in ocular or nasal swabs within minutes, allowing farmers or community animal health workers to promptly isolate infected goats or sheep and initiate treatment and reporting protocols. The availability of such tools will significantly enhance community-based surveillance and reduce the interval between infection and response. By enabling livestock stakeholders to make realtime decisions on quarantine and treatment, these tests form an essential component of a holistic control strategy. They also align with the One Health principle of early outbreak containment, thereby preventing further spread across herds and potentially into neighboring communities.

Incorporating rapid diagnostic tools into the PPR eradication framework is no longer optional: it is an essential step toward achieving the 2030 global eradication goal. Early detection empowers farmers, strengthens surveillance systems, and minimizes losses, thus enhancing the overall resilience of livestock systems in endemic regions.

Conclusion

The epidemiological landscape of PPR in Nigeria reveals a complex interplay of factors influencing its persistence and spread. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for developing effective diagnostic strategies and implementing control measures. Given the similarities between PPR and other infectious diseases affecting cloven-hoofed animals, accurate differential diagnosis is critical to implement effective control measures. The economic impact of PPR on livestock stakeholders is profound, affecting not only individual farmers but also entire communities national economies. Continued advancements in diagnostic technologies especially in the production of specific and sensitive rapid test kits, will further enhance livestock farmers' and owners' ability to monitor and combat PPR, ensuring the health productivity of small ruminant populations. This review will serve as a lead for further research geared towards the development of a rapid and early diagnostic test for PPR.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the Federal Government of Nigeria, provided through the Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFund). This work was made possible by a National Research Fund grant awarded to the authors (Grant No. TETF/ES/DR&D-CE/NRF2020/SETI/14/VOL.I).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Abdollahpour G, Roofi A, Najafi J, Sasani F and Sakhaie E (2006). Clinical and para-clinical findings of a recent outbreak of *Peste des Petits Ruminants* in Iran. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine, Series B: Infectious Diseases and Veterinary Public Health, 53*(Suppl 1): 14 16. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0450.2006.01013.x
- Adamu S, Ekundayo OS, Useh NM, Neils JS, Bissallah M and Esievo KAN (2005). Serum levels of some electrolytes in diarrhoeic Savanna and Brown goats in Zaria. 42nd Congress of Nigerian Veterinary Medical Association Nov. 14-18, 2005, Maiduguri, Nigeria.
- Adedeji A J, Dashe Y, Akanbi O B, Woma TY, Jambol AR, Adole JA, Bolajoko MB, Chima N, Asala O, Tekki IS, Luka P and Okewole P (2019). Co-infection of peste des petits ruminants and goatpox in a mixed flock of sheep and goats in Kanam, North Central Nigeria. *Veterinary Medicine and Science*, 5(3): 412 418. https://doi.org/10.1002/vms3.170
- Ahaduzzaman M (2020). Peste des Petits Ruminants (PPR) in Africa and Asia: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the prevalence in sheep and goats between 1969 and 2018. *Veterinary Medicine and Science, 6*(4): 813 833. https://doi.org/10.1002/vms3.300
- Akanbi OB, Franzke K, Adedeji AJ, Ulrich R and Teifke JP (2020). Peste des petits ruminants virus and goatpox virus coinfection in goats. *Veterinary Pathology*, 57(4): 550 553. https://doi.org/10.1177/0300985820926 954

- Aleksandersen M, Lie KI, Gjerde B and Landsverk T (2002). Lymphocyte depletion in ileal Peyer's patch follicles in lambs infected with *Eimeria ovinoidalis*. *Clinical and Diagnostic Laboratory Immunology*, 9(1): 83 91. https://doi.org/10.1128/cdli.9.1.83-91.2002
- Alemu B, Gari G, Libeau G, Kwiatek O, Kidane M, Belayneh R, Asfaw W (2019). Molecular detection and phylogenetic analysis of *Peste des Petits Ruminants* virus circulating in small ruminants in eastern Amhara region, Ethiopia. *BMC Veterinary Research*, 15: Article 84. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12917-019-1828-6
- Balamurugan V, Hemadri D, Gajendragad M R, Singh RK and Rahman H (2014). Diagnosis and control of peste des petits ruminants: a comprehensive review. *Virus Disease*, 25(1): 39 56. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13337-013-0188-2
- Balamurugan V, Sen A, Saravanan P, Singh RP, Singh RK, Rasool TJ and Bandyopadhyay SK (2006). One-step multiplex RT-PCR assay for the detection of peste-despetits-ruminants virus in clinical samples. Veterinary Research Communications, 30: 655 666. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11259-006-3340-9
- Bao J, Li L, Wang Z, Barrett T, Suo L, Zhao W, Liu Y, Liu C, and Li J (2008). Development of one-step real-time RT-PCR assay for detection and quantitation of *Peste des petits ruminants* virus. *Journal of Virological Methods, 148*(1–2): 232 236.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2007. 12.003

- Bello MB, Kazeem HM, Oladele SB, Fatihu MY, Tambuwal FM, Jibril AH, and Raji AA (2013). Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) based detection of antibodies to *Peste des petits ruminants* virus in camels presented for slaughter at Sokoto municipal abattoir, North-western Nigeria. *Camel International Journal of Veterinary Science*, 1(1), 1–12.
- Britton A, Caron A, and Bedane B (2019).

 Progress to control and eradication of
 Peste des petits ruminants in the
 Southern African Development
 Community region. Frontiers in
 Veterinary Science, 6, Article 343.

 https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2019.0034
 3
- Chabiri LA, Muhammad M, Haladu SA, Dzikwi AA, Ahmed JS, Olabode MP, Rayyanu UA Barde Ten-Year IJ (2023).Α Retrospective study of Peste des Petits ruminantis (PPR) cases presented to the National Veterinary Research Institute, Vom Plateau State, Nigeria Journal of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine, 8(5): 212 221. Https://Doi.Org/10.31248/Jasvm2023.40 7
- Chukwudi IC, Ogbu KI, Nwabueze AL, Olaolu OS, Ugochukwu EI and Chah KF (2020). Update on *Peste des Petits Ruminants* status in South East Nigeria: Serological and farmers' awareness investigation, and potential risk factors. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, *52*(6): 3285 3291. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-020-02359-7
- De Nardi M, Lamin SSM, Batten C, Oura C, Di Nardo A and Rossi D (2012). First evidence of *Peste des Petits Ruminants* virus circulation in Algeria (Sahrawi territories): Outbreak investigation and virus lineage identification.

- Transboundary and Emerging Diseases, 59(3): 214 222. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1865-1682.2011.01260.x
- Dhar P, Sreenivasa BP, Barrett T, Corteyn M, Singh RP and Bandyopadhyay SK (2002). Recent epidemiology of *Peste des Petits Ruminants* virus (PPRV). *Veterinary Microbiology, 88*(2): 153 159. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1135(02)00102-5
- Dhinakar Raj G, Rajanathan TMC, Senthil Kumar C, Ramathilagam G, Hiremath G and Shaila MS (2008). Detection of *Peste des Petits Ruminants* virus antigen using immunofiltration and antigencompetition ELISA methods. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 129(3–4): 246 251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2007.11.026
- Dilli HK, Geidam YA and Egwu GO (2011). *Peste des Petits Ruminants* in Nigeria: A review. *Nigerian Veterinary Journal*, 32: 112 119.
- El-Yuguda AD, Baba SS, Ambali AG and Egwu GO (2013). Seroprevalence of peste des petits ruminants among domestic small and large ruminants in semi-arid region of North-Eastern Nigeria. *Veterinary World*, 6(10): 807 811.
- Emikpe BO, Jarikre TO and Eyarefe OD (2013).

 Retrospective study of disease incidence and type of pneumonia in Nigerian small ruminants in Ibadan, Nigeria. *African Journal of Biomedical Research*, 16: 107 113.
- Esonu D, Armson B, Babashani M, Alafiatayo R, Ekiri AB and Cook AJC (2022). Epidemiology of peste des petits ruminants in Nigeria: A review. Frontiers in Veterinary Science, 9: 898485. https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2022.8984

- Ezeibe MCO, Okoroafor ON, Ngene AA, Eze JI, Eze IC and Ugonabo JAC (2008). Persistent detection of peste des petits ruminants antigen in the faeces of recovered goats. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 40: 517 519.
- Fadiga M, Jost C and Ihedioha JI (2011).

 Financial costs of disease burden, morbidity, and mortality from priority livestock diseases in Nigeria: Disease burden and cost-benefit analysis of targeted interventions. In Nigerian Integrated Animal and Human Health Management Project Final Report. International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Nairobi, Kenya.
- FAO (Food and Agricultural Organization) (2009). Peste des petits ruminants: An increasing threat to small ruminant production in Africa and Asia. EMPRES Transboundary Animal Disease Bulletin, 33.
- Garg N, Ahmad FJ and Kar S (2022). Recent advances in loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) for rapid and efficient detection of pathogens. *Current Research in Microbial Sciences*, 3: 100120.

 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crmicr.2022.10
 0120
- George BDJ, Sackey AK and Lawal AP (2001).

 Recurrent outbreaks of peste des petits ruminants (PPR) in flocks of Sokoto Red and Kano Brown goats in Zaria and environs. *Tropical Veterinarian*, 19: 243 247.
- Golchinfar F, Madani R and Emami T (2011).

 Differentiating peste des petits ruminants and rinderpest viruses by a novel monoclonal antibody. *Hybridoma*, 30(3): 291 295. https://doi.org/10.1089/hyb.2010.0108
- Govindaraj GN, Balamurugan V, Reddy GBM, Yogisharadhya R, Reddy TS,

- Naveenkumar GS, Kumar KV, Chaithra HR, Bi AZ, Parida S, Njeumi F, Roy P and Shome BR (2023). Towards eradication of PPR: Disease status, economic cost and perception of veterinarians in Karnataka, India. *Animals*, 13(5), 778. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani13050778
- Jemberu WT, Knight-Jones TJD, Gebru A, Mekonnen SA, Yirga A, Sibhatu D and Rushton J (2022). Economic impact of peste des petits ruminants outbreak and vaccination cost in northwest Ethiopia. Oral presentation at the 16th International Symposium of Veterinary Epidemiology and Economics, Halifax, Canada, 12 August 2022. ILRI, Nairobi, Kenya.
- Jones B A, Rich KM, Mariner JC, Anderson J, Jeggo M, Thevasagayam S, Cai Y, Peters AR and Roeder P (2016). The economic impact of eradicating peste des petits ruminants: A benefit-cost analysis. *PLOS One*, 11(2): e0149982. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0149982
- Kamal T, Khan SUH and Hassan F (2024).

 Molecular characterization of Lineage-IV

 Peste des Petits Ruminants virus and the
 development of in-house indirect
 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
 (IELISA) for its rapid detection. Biological
 Procedures Online, 26: Article 22.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12575-02400249-y

- Kang-Seuk C, Jin-Ju N, Young-Joon K, Shien-Young K and Nam-In J (2012). Rapid competitive enzyme-Linked immunosorbent assay for detection of antibodies to Peste des Petits Ruminants virus. Clinical and Diagnostic Laboratory Immunology, 12(4): 542 547.
- Kumar N, Maherchandani S, Kashyap SK, Singh SV, Sharma S, Chaubey KK and Ly H (2014). Peste des petits ruminants virus infection of small ruminants: a comprehensive review. *Viruses*, 6(6): 2287 2327. https://doi.org/10.3390/v6062287
- Lawal A, Lasisi OT, Emikpe BO and Ogundipe GAT (2011). Outbreak of *peste des petits ruminants* in West African Dwarf goats in Eruwa, Southwestern Nigeria. *Nigerian Veterinary Journal*, 32: 331 335. https://www.ajol.info/index.php/nvj/article/view/85619
- Luka PD, Erume J, Mwiine FN and Ayebazibwe C (2011). Seroprevalence of *Peste des petits ruminants* antibodies in sheep and goats after vaccination in Karamoja, Uganda: Implication on control. *International Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 3(1): 18 22.
- Mahapatra M, Howson E, Fowler V, Batten C, Flannery J, Selvaraj M and Parida S (2019). Rapid detection of Peste des Petits Ruminants virus (PPRV) nucleic acid using a novel low-cost reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification (RT-LAMP) assay for future use in nascent PPR eradication programme. *Viruses*, 11(8): 699. https://doi.org/10.3390/v11080699
- Mantip S, Quan M, Shamaki D and Van Vuuren M (2016). Comparison of nucleotide sequences of recent and previous lineages of *peste-des-petits-ruminants* viruses of sheep and goats in Nigeria. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary*

- Research, 83(1): 1 10. https://doi.org/10.4102/ojvr.v83i1.1163
- Mantip S, Sigismeau A, Shamaki D, Woma TY, Kwiatek O, Libeau G, Farougou S and Bataille A (2022). Molecular epidemiology of peste des petits ruminants virus in Nigeria: An update. Transboundary and Emerging Diseases, 69(5): 1634 1640. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.14073
- Megersa B, Biffa D, Belina T, Debela E, Regassa A, Abunna F, Rufael T, Stubsjøen SM and Skjerve E (2011). Serological investigation of *Peste des Petits Ruminants* (PPR) in small ruminants managed under pastoral and agropastoral systems in Ethiopia. *Small Ruminant Research*, 97(1–3): 134 138.
- Morens DM, Holmes EC, Davis AS and Taubenberger JK (2011). Global rinderpest eradication: lessons learned and why humans should celebrate too. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 204(4): 502–505. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jir327
- Munir M, Zohari S, Saeed A, Khan QM, Abubakar M, LeBlanc N and Berg M (2012). Detection and phylogenetic analysis of *peste des petits ruminants* virus isolated from outbreaks in Punjab, Pakistan. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 59(1): 85 93.
- Nankai Biotech Co., Ltd. (2025). Peste des
 Petits Ruminants virus antibody rapid
 test kit: Product Description and
 Principle. NKBIO SmarKIT.
 https://www.bioequip.cn/enshow1equip
 _asp?equipid=140226
- Njaa BL, Panciera RJ, Clark EG and Lamm CG (2012). Gross lesions of alimentary disease in adult cattle. *The Veterinary Clinics of North America*. *Food Animal Practice*, 28(3): 483 513.

- OEC (Observatory of Economic Complexity) (2022). Sheep and Goat Meat. https://oec.world/en/profile/hs/sheep-and-goat-meat (Accessed July 20, 2024)
- Okwelum N, Adewumi OO, Akinduti PA, Mshelbwala FM and Williams TJ (2017). Prevalence of suspected *peste des petits ruminants* infection and complicating bacteria in goats in Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production*, 44(3): 43 48.
- Prajapati M, Shrestha SP, Kathayat D, Dou Y, Li Y and Zhang Z (2021). Serological investigations of *Peste des Petits Ruminants* in cattle of Nepal. *Veterinary Medicine and Science*, 7(1): 122 – 126.
- Saminathan M, Singh KP, Khorajiya JH, Dinesh M, Vineetha S, Maity M, Rahman AF, Misri J, Malik YS, Gupta VK, Singh RK and Dhama K (2020). An updated review on bluetongue virus: epidemiology, pathobiology, and advances in diagnosis and control with special reference to India. *The Veterinary Quarterly*, 40(1): 258 321.
- Sen A, Saravanan P, Balamurugan V, Bhanuprakash V, Venkatesan G, Sarkar J, Rajak KK, Ahuja A, Yadav V, Sudhakar SB, Parida S and Singh RK (2014). Detection of subclinical peste des petits ruminants virus infection in experimental cattle. *Virus Disease*, 25(3): 408 411.
- Shamaki D, Olaleye OD, Obi TU, Diallo A, Majiyagbe KA, Lombin LH and Barrett T (2004). Peste des petits ruminants in Nigeria: Serological and molecular epidemiology. *Vom Journal of Veterinary Science*, 1(1): 8 27.
- Sharma KK, Kshirsagar DP, Kalyani IH, Patel DR, Vihol PD and Patel JM (2015). Diagnosis of Peste des Petits Ruminants infection in small ruminants through in-house developed indirect ELISA: Practical

- considerations. *Veterinary World*, *8*(4): 443 448.
- Singh RP (2019). Control strategies for *Peste* des *Petits Ruminants* in small ruminants of India. *Veterinaria Italiana*, 55(2): 123 130.
- Singh RP, Saravanan P, Sreenivasa BP, Singh RK and Bandyopadhyay SK (2004). Prevalence and distribution of *Peste des Petits Ruminants* virus infection in small ruminants in India. *Revue Scientifique et Technique (OIE)*, 23(3): 807 819.
- Taylor WP, Diallo A, Gopal K, Soman JP and Angara TEE (2002). Use of thermostabilized vaccines in mobile pastoralists and other populations in areas of difficult access. *African Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences*, 31(Suppl): 1 10.
- Woma TY, Kalla DJU, Ekong PS, Ularamu HG, Chollom SC, Lamurde II and Shamaki D (2015b). Serological evidence of camel exposure to *Peste des Petits Ruminants* virus (PPRV) in Nigeria. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 47(3): 603 606.
- Woma TY, Quan M, Bailey D, Luka PD, Ularamu HG, Bwala DG and Shamaki D (2015a). Molecular analysis of *Peste des Petits Ruminants* viruses from current outbreaks in Nigeria. *EMPRES Animal Health Bulletin*, 45: 17 19.
- Zahur AB, Ullah A, Irshad H, Farooq MS, Hussain M and Jahangir M (2009). Epidemiological investigations of a *Peste des Petits Ruminants* (PPR) outbreak in Afghan sheep in Pakistan. *Pakistan Veterinary Journal*, 29(4): 174 178.
- Zhang S, Liang R, Yang Q, Li M, Wang Q, Zhao Y and Zhao J (2022). Epidemiologic and import risk analysis of *Peste des Petits Ruminants* between 2010 and 2018 in India. *BMC Veterinary Research*, 18: 419. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12917-022-03507-x